

Differentiation and Integrity of Pluripotent Stem Cells

Saytyajit T, Andrew W and Mahtsabisa MG*

Department of Pharmacology, University of the Free State, Bloemfontein, Republic of South Africa

Editorial

Received: 10/11/2020

Accepted: 24/11/2020

Published: 30/11/2020

For Correspondence:

Mahtsabisa MG,

Department of Pharmacology,
University of the Free State,
Bloemfontein 9300, Republic of
South Africa, Tel: +27 51 401 9111

E-mail: MatsabisaMG@ufs.ac.zy

Keywords: Potency, Somatic,
Reprogramming, Transcription,
Fibroblast, Epigenetics.

Editorial note

Adult stem cells have limitations with their potency; contrary Embryonic Stem Cells (ESCs), they are not able to differentiate into cells from all three germ layers. As such, they are deemed multipotent.

However, reprogramming allows for the creation of pluripotent cells, induced Pluripotent Stem Cells (iPSCs), from adult cells. These are not adult stem cells, but somatic cells (e.g. epithelial cells) reprogrammed to give rise to cells with pluripotent capabilities. Using genetic reprogramming with protein transcription factors, pluripotent stem cells with ESC-like capabilities have been derived. The first demonstration of induced pluripotent stem cells was conducted by Shinya Yamanaka and his colleagues at Kyoto University^[1]. They used the transcription factors Oct3/4, Sox2, c-Myc, and Klf4 to reprogram mouse fibroblast cells into pluripotent cells. Subsequent work used these factors to induce pluripotency in human fibroblast cells. Junying Yu, James Thomson, and their colleagues at the University of Wisconsin–Madison used a different set of factors, Oct4, Sox2, Nanog and Lin28, and carried out their experiments using cells from human foreskin. However, they were able to replicate Yamanaka's finding that inducing pluripotency in human cells was possible^[2].

Induced pluripotent stem cells differ from embryonic stem cells. They share many similar properties, such as pluripotency and differentiation potential, the expression of pluripotency genes, epigenetic patterns, embryoid body and teratoma formation, and viable chimera formation, but there are many differences within these properties. The chromatin of iPSCs appears to be more "closed" or methylated than that of ESCs. Similarly, the gene expression pattern between ESCs and iPSCs, or even iPSCs sourced from different origins. There are thus questions about the

"completeness" of reprogramming and the somatic memory of induced Pluripotent Stem Cells. Despite this, inducing somatic cells to be pluripotent appears to be viable [3].

As a result of the success of these experiments, Ian Wilmut, who helped create the first cloned animal Dolly the Sheep, has announced that he will abandon somatic cell nuclear transfer as an avenue of research.

Moreover, unlike ESCs, they potentially could allow doctors to create a pluripotent stem cell line for each individual patient. Frozen blood samples can be used as a valuable source of induced pluripotent stem cells [4]. Patient specific stem cells allow for the screening for side effects before drug treatment, as well as the reduced risk of transplantation rejection. Despite their current limited use therapeutically, iPSCs hold create potential for future use in medical treatment and research.

Epigenetic factors are also thought to be involved in the actual reprogramming of somatic cells in order to induce pluripotency. It has been theorized that certain epigenetic factors might actually work to clear the original somatic epigenetic marks in order to acquire the new epigenetic marks that are part of achieving a pluripotent state. Chromatin is also reorganized in iPSCs and becomes like that found in ESCs in that it is less condensed and therefore more accessible. Euchromatin modifications are also common which is also consistent with the state of euchromatin found in ESCs.

Due to their great similarity to ESCs, iPSCs have been of great interest to the medical and research community. iPSCs could potentially Setbacks such as low replication rates and early senescence have also been encountered when making iPSCs, hindering their use as ESCs replacements [5].

Additionally, it has been determined that the somatic expression of combined transcription factors can directly induce other defined somatic cell fates (transdifferentiation); researchers identified three neural-lineage-specific transcription factors that could directly convert mouse fibroblasts (skin cells) into fully functional neurons. This result challenges the terminal nature of cellular differentiation and the integrity of lineage commitment; and implies that with the proper tools, all cells are totipotent and may form all kinds of tissue.

REFERENCES

1. Cox C et al. Consequences of disrupting Salmonella AI-2 signaling on interactions within soft rots. *Phytopathology*. 2013; 103:352-361.
2. Kroupitski Y et al. Identification of Salmonella enterica genes with a role in persistence on lettuce leaves during cold storage by recombinase-based in vivo expression technology. *Phytopathology*, 2013; 103:362-372.
3. Brandl MT et al. Salmonella interactions with plants and their associated micro biota. *Phytopathology*.2013; 103:316-325.
4. Roy D et al. Escherichia coli O157:H7 induces stronger plant immunity than Salmonella entericaTyphimurium SL1344. *Phytopathology*.2013; 103:326-332.
5. Wright KM et al. The endophytic lifestyle of Escherichia coli O157:H7: Quantification and internal localization in roots. *Phytopathology*.2013; 103:333-340.