Research and Reviews: Journal of Chemistry

e-ISSN: 2319-9849
p-ISSN: 2322-00

Sudden Changes in the Environment

Prameela Sivakoti*

Pydah College of Engineering, JNTU, Kakinada, Andhra Pradesh, India

Review Article

Received: 16/07/2016
Revised: 26/07/2016
Accepted: 30/07/2016

***For Correspondence**

Prameela Sivakoti, Pydah college of Engineering, JNTU, Kakinada, Andhra Pradesh, India

Keywords: Environment, Composts, Sulfur dioxide, Organic, Ecological

E-mail:
sivakoti.prameela@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

The change in Environmental group examines change in the atmosphere framework, cryosphere and marine environment over the later past, in the present, and into what's to come. The gathering utilizes different strategies, covering palaeoecological and palaeoclimatic scientific and dating approaches, remote detecting, field overviews and numerical demonstrating, so as to:
a. Document times of major past atmosphere and natural change;
b. Reconstruct and model cold and ice-sheet elements over various Quaternary timescales;
c. Understand the impacts of natural change on contemporary physical and marine situations.

INTRODUCTION

Most living spaces don't finish what has been started constantly. Day by day changes to the earth include:
Change from light and warmth to dim and icy, as dusks, Change from a high water level to a low water level, as the tide changes.

Occasional changes to the earth include:
Change from hot climate in the late spring to chilly climate in the winter, Change from extend periods of time of light in the late spring to shorter days in the winter, Change from loads of vegetation in the late spring to exposed trees and snow-made progress in the winter [1-13].

Humans

People are exceptionally fruitful living things. We rival different living beings for some normal assets. These include: land (for farms, structures and streets), water (for drinking, watering fields, and industry), Population development. The world's populace of people is expanding constantly. In the year 1800 it was around 1 billion, and now it is more than 6 billion (that is 6,000,000,000). More individuals mean more utilization of common assets, and greater changes to the earth. The diagram indicates populace development in the course of the most recent 200 years [14-22].

Land use

People use machines to move a lot of earth to make new streets and structures. We fix streams and fabricate dividers to stop them flooding. In a few nations, for example, the Netherlands, area is recovered from the ocean. Boundaries are fabricated and water is pumped out. New dry area shapes for individuals to utilize [23-34].
We additionally expansive territories of area for quarries. These are huge gaps in the ground where rocks containing valuable metals are taken out. The earth is likewise changed when area is overflowed to make stores for drinking water or hydroelectric force plans. The creatures and plants that live in the timberland or on the area lose their natural surroundings. They may cease to exist in that district accordingly.

e-ISSN: 2319-9849
p-ISSN: 2322-00

DEFORESTATION

People have been chopping down trees for a large number of years. We do this to clear land for cultivating and assembling, and for wood to use as a fuel or building material. Ranger service is feasible the lengths of woodlands is permitted to supplant them, or are replanted subsequent to felling, yet regularly this is not done. The outcome is that the world's woodlands are consistently contracting [35-47].

Contamination

Living things produce waste materials, for example, pee and dung. People deliver these, as well, however they likewise create other waste materials. These materials can dirty nature. They include:
Household and mechanical junk, Chemicals from mechanical procedures, Smoke from blazing energizes
Harmful gasses from blazing energizes

Landfill sites

Rubbish is taken to a landfill site. That does not vanish when it is discarded. A considerable measure of it is covered in landfill destinations. These might be neglected quarries or harsh ground that can't be utilized for cultivating or lodging. At the point when landfill locales are loaded with garbage, they are secured in soil and planted with trees and brambles. Reusing rubbish is essential in light of the fact that less landfill locales are required, and the materials in the garbage are re-utilized [48-57].

Composts

Composts are chemicals utilized by agriculturists to help their products develop well. Downpour can wash manures off the fields and into waterways. This causes water plants to congest and shut out the light. Different plants bite the dust accordingly. They go through oxygen as they decay away, and fish and different creatures choke. This procedure is called eutrophication [58-69].

Smog

Smoke from smoldering fills makes structures turn dark. With other waste chemicals noticeable all around, it can shape exhaust cloud. This makes the air dim, particularly over vast towns and urban areas. The contaminated air can make it hard to relax [70-85].

Acid rain

Acidic gasses, for example, sulfur dioxide are created when fossil powers like coal, oil and gas blaze. Sulfur dioxide disintegrates in the mists and causes corrosive downpour. This harms structures, trees and damages life in streams and lakes. It likewise causes synthetic weathering of rocks to happen much speedier than ordinary.

PAST ATMOSPHERE ELEMENTS

Past environmental change in Africa

Sub-Saharan Africa is a standout amongst the most climatically delicate ranges on Earth, fluctuating from lake-studded savannah forest to hyper arid desert throughout a cold interglacial cycle. To comprehend these extraordinary variances we are taking a gander at geomorphic records of environmental change from the extremes; interglacial and frosty periods. We have archived proof that the White Nile River valley once facilitated a huge freshwater lake with a plausible surface zone of around 45,000 km2, making it one of the biggest lakes on the planet at the time. The lake owes its presence to much higher precipitation because of a more extraordinary summer storm. In Ethiopia and the Drakensburg Mountains in Lesotho and South Africa we are concentrating on proof of glaciation to record the planning and size of cooling amid the tallness of the last ice age [86-92].

Ice, ocean level and environmental change

Antarctic Ice Sheet recreation

Changes in the span of the Antarctic Ice Sheet affect worldwide atmosphere and ocean level. The extent of the ice sheet at the Last Glacial Maximum, and its ensuing deglaciation, has been researched utilizing numerical displaying and marine geophysical examinations. The Weddell Sea area of the ice sheet has the limit for an incredibly propelled ice sheet at the Last Glacial Maximum, in any case, field and demonstrating proof has recommended that the ice sheet was not as extensive as beforehand thought as of now. The Pine Island Glacier is one of the significant outlets for depleting West Antarctic mainland ice, and is right now experiencing sensational

e-ISSN: 2319-9849
p-ISSN: 2322-00

changes. New marine reviews seaward of the ice sheet have confined these progressions against a background of long haul retreat, demonstrating the example of deglaciation to the present-day [93-99].

The glaring warmth of late morning in the Amboseli bowl is trailed by a 20°C temperature drop amid the cool night. A couple of months of rich grasses, crowds of bug hatchlings, and tree blooms are immediately supplanted by a long dry period of dust, exposed earth, and grass stubble. Restoration happens with the downpours yet the downpours fall flat, unusually, roughly one year out of five. These fleeting changes happen against a background of bigger scale biological changes that gather over decades once thick forest gets to be open prairie, every day temperatures build, the water table ascents, and the ice tops therapist on Mt. Kilimanjaro, the mountain that rules Amboseli's scene [100-107].

Seeing how life forms adjust to natural change of this sort is significant for organic preservation, in light of the fact that numerous parts of the world are currently encountering fast anthropogenic environmental change. It is additionally essential for increasing general knowledge into populace forms, on the grounds that natural change has likely been experienced by most or all life forms sooner or later in their transformative history. We will likely record in point of interest the reaction of the Amboseli mandrills to the natural change they are encountering.

Over the past half century, the number and size of marshes and lakes in the Amobseli bowl have expanded, already boundless Acacia forests have been significantly diminished and supplanted by field, halophytic vegetation, and bogs. Similarly significantly, day by day temperatures have expanded by more than 5°C. The contracting ice tops on Kilimanjaro play a so far obscure part in the nearby changes happening in Amboseli. The baboon population encountered a sensational decrease in the 1960's at the onset of forest vanish. Be that as it may, the populace recuperated even as the forest cease to exist proceeded, and populace size has kept on expanding reasonably in the course of recent decades (despite the fact that not without changes). The primates' prosperity is in striking differentiation to the disappointment of Amboseli's vervet monkeys to adjust. Vervet monkeys, similar to primates, are broad savanna-abiding monkeys, and the two species show extensive cover in environment and eating regimen. Be that as it may, the vervet populace has experienced sensational decrease, incorporating neighborhood elimination in a few regions, as a result of ecological changes [108-113].

Our behavioral information demonstrate that ecological change in Amboseli has significantly affected the time spending plans, social lives, eating regimen, and natural surroundings utilization of mandrills. Amid times of forest cease to exist, monkeys experienced both low fruitfulness and high newborn child mortality. They additionally committed about 80% of light hours to searching and drastically decreased their social time, notwithstanding both hypothetical and exact proof demonstrating that they endeavor (and frequently succeed in) monitoring social time as a method for adjusting their essential social connections. A broadly acknowledged and compelling model of primate biology anticipated that social gatherings will lose attachment and either splitting or go terminated under amazing ecological anxiety our information don't bolster this model. Or maybe, the primates altered their eating regimen by expanding the differences of nourishment things; they likewise moved to totally new home reaches in ranges of Amboseli with in place forest. Survival and richness expanded after these behavioral changes.

The new researchers and scientists are presently attempting to depict behavioral, physiological, and demographic reactions by the Amboseli primates to the broad natural change they have encountered. We intend to assemble definite data on how ecological change influences wellness parts and related qualities. We likewise intend to clarify how diverse people are differentially influenced by, and react to, ecological change. Primates display considerable interindividual variety in conduct, and our emphasis on individual contrasts will give essential knowledge into qualities that present a versatile preferred standpoint notwithstanding ecological change.

REFERENCES

1. Snow R and Snow M. The Grand Thaw: Our Vanishing Cryosphere. J Climatol Weather Forecasting 2014;2:e107.
2. Kapur R. Natural Resources and Environmental Issues. J Ecosys Ecograph 2016;6:196.
3. Gheni SA, et al. Naphtha Catalytic Upgrading for Production of Environmentally - Friendly Gasoline. J Chem Eng Process Technol 2016;7:303.
4. Kim HD, et al. OMICS as Therapeutic Platform: Environmental Factors to Parkinson's Disease. J Microb Biochem Technol 2016;8:222-225.
5. Yoshimura R, et al. Serum Brain-Derived Neurotrophic Factor Level, Plasma 3-Methoxy-4-Hydroxyphenylglycol Level in Major Depressed Patients with Paroxetine Monotherapy. J Depress Anxiety 2016;5:234.
6. Shumet AG and Mengistu KT. Assessing the Impact of Existing and Future Water Demand on Economic and Environmental Aspects Case Study from Rift Valley Lake Basin: Meki-Ziway Sub Basin, Ethiopia. Int J Waste Resour 2016;6:223.

7. Lysenko OB, et al. Biogenic Chemical Elements Isotope Ratios in Living Organism as a New Potential Indicator of Physiological State. J Phys Chem Biophys 2016;6:218.
8. Highland H. Environmental Stressors: Is the Sperm Cell Vulnerable or Resilient?. Andrology Los Angel 2016;4:164.
9. Lopez-Barbosa N and Osma JF. Biosensors: Migrating from Clinical to Environmental Industries. Biosens J 2016;5:e106.
10. El-Banna TES, et al. Clinical and Environmental Prevalence and Antibiotic Susceptibility of Listeria monocytogenes in Dakahlea Governorate, Egypt. Clin Microbiol 2016;5: 249.
11. Jagerroos S and Krause PR. Rigs-To-Reef; Impact or Enhancement on Marine Biodiversity. J Ecosys Ecograph 2016;6:187.
12. Michalski R. Ion Chromatography and Related Techniques 2016. J Chromatogr Sep Tech 2016;7: 325.
13. Rashed-Un-Nabi M, et al. Fish Assemblage Patterns: Temporal Distribution Structure and Influence of Environmental Variables in the Karnafully River Estuary, Bangladesh. J Fisheries Livest Prod 2016;4:174.
14. Gitau PN and Verschuren D. Sedimentological and Paleoecological Research Techniques, with Applications to the Environmental History of Loboi Swamp, Kenya. J Earth Sci Clim Change 2016;7:351.
15. Busheina IS, et al. Determination of Selenium in Environmental Samples Using Hydride Generation Coupled to Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy. J Environ Anal Chem 2016;3:180.
16. Rajesh J, et al. Environmental Impacts Assessment of Brackish Water Aquaculture Activity in Nagapattinam Region, South East coast of India. J Environ Anal Toxicol 2016;6:367.
17. Miller M, et al. Exhaust Air Particle PCR Detects Helicobacter hepaticus Infections at Low Prevalence. J Vet Sci Technol 2016;7:343.
18. Boddu SR, et al. Environmental Impact Assessment of A Proposed 2640 MW Thermal Power Plant at Sompeta Using RIAM. J Civil Environ Eng 2016;6:229.
19. Hamouda RM. Engineering and Environmental Parameters for Biogas Production. Int J Waste Resour 2016;6:218.
20. Hu BQ. A Review on Late Quaternary Environmental Change in the Namibia, South-Western Africa. J Earth Sci Clim Change 2016;7:348.
21. Nyamoga GZ, et al. Sawnwood Substitution in Dar es Salaam, Tanzania: Is there a Link to Environmental Conservation? J Ecosys Ecograph 2016;S5:006.
22. Sturaro A, et al. Analysis of Metals and Surface Modification of Leaves for the Evaluation of Forest Fires Started by Electrical Discharge. J Environ Anal Chem 2016;3:179.
23. Magnoni M, et al. Evaluation of the Effect of Aggregates Mineralogy and Geometry on Asphalt Mixture Friction. J Civil Environ Eng 2016;6:223.
24. Ateia M, et al. In-situ Biological Water Treatment Technologies for Environmental Remediation: A Review. J Bioremed Biodeg 2016;7:348.
25. Payne AJ, et al. Effect of Environmental Factors on Obesity: A Quantile Regression Approach. J Biom Biostat 2016;7:293.
26. Warrior H, et al. Role of Geophysical Models in Environmental Disaster Management. Int J Waste Resour 2016;6:208.
27. Al-Saadi N. Environmental Issues Concerning the Economic Development of Tourism in Iraq. J Glob Econ 2016;4:182.
28. Olaleye AO, et al. Likoti Farming under Changing Climate in Lesotho: Agronomic Grain Yield versus Technical Efficiency. J Ecosys Ecograph 2016;S5:001.
29. Al-Saadi N. Environmental Issues Concerning the Economic Development of Tourism in Iraq. J Glob Econ 2016;4: 182.
30. Dilrukshi RAN and Kawasaki S. Effective Use of Plant-Derived Urease in the Field of Geoenvironmental/Geotechnical Engineering. J Civil Environ Eng 2016;6:207.
31. Hernández-Hernández KA, et al. Polymer-Clay Nanocomposites and Composites: Structures, Characteristics, and their Applications in the Removal of Organic Compounds of Environmental Interest. Med chem Los Angeles 2016;6:201-210.
32. Muniyappan Gandhi R. Recent Advances in Chitosan Based Biosorbent for Environmental Clean-Up. J Bioremed Biodeg 2016;7:e173.

e-ISSN: 2319-9849
p-ISSN: 2322-00

33. Pradeepkiran JA and Bhaskar M. Environmental Acidification Impact on Fisheries by Changing Oxidative Markers of Liver and Intestine of Freshwater Fish Cyprinus carpio L. Poult Fish Wildl Sci 2016;4:146.
34. Lin MC. Marine Environmental Protection: A Highly Efficient Method of Degradation of Heavy Oil Pollution on Coastal Beaches. Hydrol Current Res 2016;7:231.
35. Passafiume D, et al. Recognition of Environmental Emotional Sounds in Alzheimer's Disease. J Alzheimers Dis Parkinsonism 2016;6:217.
36. Juwarkar AA, et al. Biodiversity Promotion in Restored Mine Land through Plant-Animal Interaction. J Ecosys Ecograp 2016;6:176.
37. Rodríguez Díaz JA and Montesinos P. The Role of Precision Irrigation in Environmentally Sensitive Areas. Irrigat Drainage Sys Eng 2015;S1:e001.
38. Ezejiofor TIN. Environmental Factors in Renal Disease: The Contribution of Urinary Tract Infections in Nigerian Renal Disease Burden-Research. Clin Microbiol 2016;5:237.
39. Saputra F, et al. Toxicity Effects of the Environmental Hormone 4-Tert-Octylphenol in Zebrafish Danio Rerio. J Marine Sci Res Dev 2016;6:180.
40. de Souza A, et al. Asthma and Environmental Indicators: A Time-series Study. J Allergy Ther 2016;7:232.
41. Skandrani Z. Considering the Socio-ecological Co-construction of Nature Conceptions as a Basis for Urban Environmental Governance. J Geogr Nat Disas 2016;6:153.
42. Paniagua-Michel J and Olmos-Soto J. Modern Approaches into Biochemical and Molecular Biomarkers: Key Roles in Environmental Biotechnology. J Biotechnol Biomater 2016;6:216.
43. Brodziak A and Wieczorek L. Mysterious Wave of Severe Poisonings in Poland by New Psychoactive Substances. J Gerontol Geriatr Res 2016;5:269.
44. Brodziak A and Ziolko E. Medical and Mental Target Risk Factors for Dementia Prevention. J Gerontol Geriatr Res 2016;5:266.
45. Gozlan I, et al. Identification, Mechanisms and Kinetics of Macrolide Degradation Product Formation under Controlled Environmental Conditions. J Environ Anal Chem 2016;3:171.
46. Kurt A, et al. Exposure to Environmental Tobacco Smoke during Pregnancy Restrain the Antioxidant Response of their Neonates. J Neonatal Biol 2016;5:210.
47. Nwaichi EO and Essien EB. Biochemical Indices in Ecology: A Catalyst in Environmental Study. Biochem Anal Biochem 2016;5:238.
48. Touliabah HE, et al. Plankton and Some Environmental Variables as a Water Quality Indicator for Saline Pools at the Eastern Red Sea Saudi Arabia. J Coast Zone Manag 2016;19:417.
49. Zhou C. The Importance of Environmental Safety Evaluation of Beaches. J Coast Zone Manag 2016;19:416.
50. Mohamed ME, et al. Allovahlkampfia spelaea is a Potential Environmental Host for Pathogenic Bacteria. J Bacteriol Parasitol 2016;7:255.
51. Hameed SW, et al. Sensing and Degradation of Chlorpyrifos by using Environmental Friendly Nano Materials. J Biosens Bioelectron 2016;7:198.
52. Kim HM, et al. Inhalation Toxicity of Ethylene Glycol in Rat. J Veterinar Sci Technol 2016;7:292.
53. Lee CY and Lin YH. The Electricity Generation in Microbial Fuel Cells Using Reaeration Mechanism for Cathodic Oxygen Reduction. J Civil Environ Eng 2015;5:203.
54. Peris-Mora E and Velasco LVF. The Quality of the Environmental Impact Assessment Process for Public Road Projects: A Case Study in Spain. J Civil Environ Eng 2015;5:193.
55. Massawe SB, et al. The Environmental Challenges of Biomass Utilisation for Combined Heat and Power Generation in a Paper Mill in Tanzania. J Fundam Renewable Energy Appl 2016;6:202.
56. Etetor Eshiet R, et al. The Biologic Potential of Lyophilized Extracts of Brickellia cavanillesii Asteraceae: Apoptosis and Glut 2 Gene Expression. J Food Process Technol 2015;7:541.
57. Hoffmann MR, et al. Environmental applications of semiconductor photocatalysis. Chemical reviews 1995;951:69-96.
58. Duncan RB. Characteristics of organizational environments and perceived environmental uncertainty. Administrative science quarterly. 1972;1:313-327.
59. Grossman GM and Krueger AB. Environmental impacts of a North American free trade agreement. National Bureau of Economic Research; 1991 Nov 1.
60. Schultz PW and Zelezny L. Values as predictors of environmental attitudes: Evidence for consistency across 14 countries. Journal of environmental psychology 1999;193:255-265.

e-ISSN: 2319-9849
p-ISSN: 2322-00

61. Stern PC. New environmental theories: toward a coherent theory of environmentally significant behavior. Journal of social issues 2000;563:407-424.
62. Hines JM, et al. Analysis and synthesis of research on responsible environmental behavior: A meta-analysis. The Journal of environmental education 1987;182:1-8.
63. Stern DI, et al. Economic growth and environmental degradation: the environmental Kuznets curve and sustainable development. World development 1996;247:1151-1160.
64. Dunlap RE and Van Liere KD. The "new environmental paradigm". The journal of environmental education 1978;94:10-19.
65. Field BC and Field MK. Environmental economics: an introduction. Sustainable Human Development Review 1997:105.
66. Ittelson WH. Environmental psychology: Man and his physical setting. Proshansky HM, Rivlin LG (eds.). New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston;1970.
67. Venter JC, et al. Environmental genome shotgun sequencing of the Sargasso Sea. Science 2004;3045667:66-74.
68. Farrell AE, et al. Ethanol can contribute to energy and environmental goals. Science 2006;3115760:506-508.
69. Levins R. Some demographic and genetic consequences of environmental heterogeneity for biological control. Bulletin of the Entomological society of America 1969;153:237-240.
70. Dunlap RE, et al. New trends in measuring environmental attitudes: measuring endorsement of the new ecological paradigm: a revised NEP scale. Journal of social issues 2000;563:425-442.
71. Kollmuss A and Agyeman J. Mind the gap: why do people act environmentally and what are the barriers to pro-environmental behavior?. Environmental education research 2002;83:239-260.
72. Russo MV and Fouts PA. A resource-based perspective on corporate environmental performance and profitability. Academy of management Journal 1997;403:534-559.
73. Staples CA, et al. A review of the environmental fate, effects, and exposures of bisphenol A. Chemosphere 1998;3610:2149-2173.
74. Box GE and Tiao GC. Intervention analysis with applications to economic and environmental problems. Journal of the American Statistical association 1975;70349:70-79.
75. Kalyanasundaram K and Thomas JK. Environmental effects on vibronic band intensities in pyrene monomer fluorescence and their application in studies of micellar systems. Journal of the American Chemical Society 1977;997:2039-2044.
76. Arrow KJ and Fisher AC. Environmental preservation, uncertainty, and irreversibility. In: Classic Papers in Natural Resource Economics 1974;76-84.
77. Van der Oost R, et al. Fish bioaccumulation and biomarkers in environmental risk assessment: a review. Environmental toxicology and pharmacology 2003;132:57-149.
78. Schultz PW. The structure of environmental concern: Concern for self, other people, and the biosphere. Journal of environmental psychology 2001;214:327-339.
79. Curran MA. Environmental life-cycle assessment. The International Journal of Life Cycle Assessment 1996;13:179-182.
80. Hill J, et al. Environmental, economic, and energetic costs and benefits of biodiesel and ethanol biofuels. Proceedings of the National Academy of sciences 2006 Jul 25;10330:11206-11210.
81. Tilman D, et al. Forecasting agriculturally driven global environmental change. Science 2001;2925515:281-284.
82. MacDonald D, et al. Agricultural abandonment in mountain areas of Europe: environmental consequences and policy response. Journal of environmental management 2000;591:47-69.
83. Swinburn B, et al. Dissecting obesogenic environments: the development and application of a framework for identifying and prioritizing environmental interventions for obesity. Preventive medicine 1999;296:563-570.
84. Armstrong B and Doll R. Environmental factors and cancer incidence and mortality in different countries, with special reference to dietary practices. International journal of cancer 1975;154:617-631.
85. Patten DM. Intra-industry environmental disclosures in response to the Alaskan oil spill: A note on legitimacy theory. Accounting, Organizations and Society 1992;175:471-475.
86. Bäckhed F, et al. The gut microbiota as an environmental factor that regulates fat storage. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 2004;10144:15718-15723.

e-ISSN: 2319-9849
p-ISSN: 2322-00

87. Pimentel D, et al. Environmental and economic costs of nonindigenous species in the United States. BioScience 2000;501:53-65.
88. Selden TM and Song D. Environmental quality and development: is there a Kuznets curve for air pollution emissions?. Journal of Environmental Economics and management 1994;272:147-162.
89. Pauly D. On the interrelationships between natural mortality, growth parameters, and mean environmental temperature in 175 fish stocks. Journal du Conseil 1980;392:175-192.
90. Amann RI, et al. Fluorescent-oligonucleotide probing of whole cells for determinative, phylogenetic, and environmental studies in microbiology. Journal of bacteriology 1990;1722:762-770.
91. Soto AM, et al. The E-SCREEN assay as a tool to identify estrogens: an update on estrogenic environmental pollutants. Environmental health perspectives 1995;103 Suppl 7:113.
92. Raingeaud J, et al. Pro-inflammatory cytokines and environmental stress cause p38 mitogen-activated protein kinase activation by dual phosphorylation on tyrosine and threonine. Journal of Biological Chemistry 1995;27013:7420-7426.
93. Johnson HW, et al. Estimates of genetic and environmental variability in soybeans. Agronomy journal 1955;477:314-318.
94. Cutter SL, et al. Social vulnerability to environmental hazards. Social science quarterly 2003;842:242-261.
95. Hill JO and Peters JC. Environmental contributions to the obesity epidemic. Science 1998;2805368:1371-1374.
96. Ball JT, et al. A model predicting stomatal conductance and its contribution to the control of photosynthesis under different environmental conditions. In: Progress in photosynthesis research 1987;221-224.
97. Segerson K and Miceli TJ. Voluntary environmental agreements: good or bad news for environmental protection?. Journal of environmental economics and management 1998;362:109-130.
98. Toppari J, et al. Male reproductive health and environmental xenoestrogens. Environmental health perspectives 1996;104 Suppl 4:741.
99. Levy MA, et al. Improving the effectiveness of international environmental institutions. Institutions for the earth: Sources of effective international environmental protection 1993:397-426.
100. Gray R, et al. Corporate social and environmental reporting: a review of the literature and a longitudinal study of UK disclosure. Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal 1995;82:47-77.
101. Klassen RD and McLaughlin CP. The impact of environmental management on firm performance. Management science 1996;428:1199-1214.
102. Boxall PC, et al. A comparison of stated preference methods for environmental valuation. Ecological economics 1996;183:243-253.
103. Loftus EV. Clinical epidemiology of inflammatory bowel disease: incidence, prevalence, and environmental influences. Gastroenterology 2004;1266:1504-1517.
104. Hardman R. A toxicologic review of quantum dots: toxicity depends on physicochemical and environmental factors. Environmental health perspectives 2006;1:165-172.
105. Hungerford HR and Volk TL. Changing learner behavior through environmental education. The journal of environmental education. 1990;213:8-21.
106. Colvin VL. The potential environmental impact of engineered nanomaterials. Nature biotechnology 2003;2110:1166-1170.
107. Wintzingerode FV, et al. Determination of microbial diversity in environmental samples: pitfalls of PCR-based rRNA analysis. FEMS microbiology reviews 1997;213:213-229.
108. Cutter S, et al. Social vulnerability to environmental hazards. Hazards, Vulnerability, and Environmental Justice 2006:115-132.
109. Collatz GJ, et al. Physiological and environmental regulation of stomatal conductance, photosynthesis and transpiration: a model that includes a laminar boundary layer. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 1991;542:107-136.

e-ISSN: 2319-9849
p-ISSN: 2322-00

110. Pimentel D, et al. Environmental and economic costs of soil erosion and conservation benefits. Science AAAS Weekly Paper Edition 1995;2675201:1117-1122.
111. Blacconiere WG and Patten DM. Environmental disclosures, regulatory costs, and changes in firm value. Journal of accounting and economics 1994;183:357-377.
112. Zhang WX. Nanoscale iron particles for environmental remediation: an overview. Journal of nanoparticle Research 2003;534:323-332.
113. Vitousek PM, et al. Biological invasions as global environmental change. American Scientist 1996;845:468.