

Immunopreventable Diseases Differences between Genders in Brazil

Élide Sbardellotto M da Costa^{1*}, Adriano Hyeda², Eliane MCP Maluf³

¹Department of Internal Medicine, Cardiologist, Preventive and Social Medicine, Health manager, MBA in Health Management by Superior Institute of Management and Economy/Getúlio Vargas Foundation (ISAE/FGV), Master's degree in Internal Medicine from Federal University of Paraná (UFPR) and PhD student from the Post-graduation Program in Internal Medicine from UFPR, Brazil

²Department of Internal Medicine, Oncologist, Occupational Physician from Federal University of Paraná (UFPR), MBA in Health Management by Superior Institute of Management and Economy/Getúlio Vargas Foundation (ISAE/FGV), Brazil

³Department of Pediatrics, Advisor from the Post-graduation Program in Internal Medicine from Federal University of Paraná (UFPR), Brazil

Research Article

Received date: 02/12/2021

Accepted date: 15/12/2021

Published date: 22/12/2021

*For Correspondence

Élide Sbardellotto Mariano da Costa, Department of Internal Medicine, Cardiologist, Preventive and Social Medicine, General Carneiro, Street, 181 – Curitiba, Paraná, Brazil.

Tel: +55 41 999850312

Email: elide.costa@sesa.pr.gov.br

Abstract

Immunopreventable diseases are a public health reality in Brazil and worldwide. The international literature defined that there is difference between sexes at the answer to the infectious diseases.

Objective: To discriminate the hospitalizations associated with immune preventable diseases in Brazil and their care costs, within the scope of the SUS, between 2008 and 2018, differentiating the data by gender.

Methods: A population, observational, descriptive, retrospective study was conducted with secondary information from DATASUS.

Results: A total of 457,479 hospitalizations were evaluated, totaling a direct cost of R\$389,243,264.85, 53.17% in males and 46.83% in the female group (p-value 0.036). The trend analysis showed 6 diseases in decreasing situation (with statistical significance) and 4 in stationary tendency in the number of hospitalizations in the analyzed period. The direct costs of these hospitalizations were predominantly stationary for both sexes.

Conclusion: The number of hospitalizations varied during the period analyzed, however the care costs of Immunopreventable diseases hospitalizations remained high, regardless of gender.

Keywords: Gender analysis in health, Communicable diseases, Vaccines, Unified health system.

INTRODUCTION

The World Health Organization (WHO) estimated that a quarter of deaths in children fewer than 5 years are caused by immune preventable diseases ^[1-7] According to international literature ^[8-10] a considerable proportion of health care is attributed to communicable diseases: one in six cases attended by primary care and about 128,000 hospitalizations (84% in public hospitals) were related to these conditions, data from 2010. Vaccination is important in the care of these diseases, since it makes possible both avoiding their incidence, their complications and their sequels ⁸. Only basic sanitation and drinking water have greater public health benefits than vaccination ^[5,6]. Vaccines prevent between 2 and 3 million deaths per year worldwide ^[10].

The international literature has already evidenced the existence of a difference not only genetic between the sexes, but hormonal and immune in the response to infectious pathogens, vaccines and autoimmune diseases ^[11-13]. Both sexes have the same cells, however, the innate hormonal immunological response and mediated cell is different between the sexes, with different responses to the same stimuli (both pathogenic and vaccine), caused mainly by the interactions of sex hormones to the

Research & Reviews: Journal of Nursing & Health Sciences

immune system, and by the specific genetic determinants for each sex ^[14-18] (besides the socio-cultural-economic determinants that also interfere in the individual response to infections).

In this context, the main objective of this manuscript will be to discriminate the direct costs of hospitalizations under the Unified Health System, immune preventable diseases (diphtheria, tetanus, pertussis, mumps, rubella, measles, hepatitis B, yellow fever, influenza virus respiratory syndrome, meningococcal disease, chickenpox), through DATASUS data, from 2008 to 2018, understanding the impact of gender difference by preventable diseases in Brazil. This analysis is important in the sense that it can guide specific public health policies for each gender or population group.

METHODOLOGY

In this manuscript, data referring to Brazil were chosen for analysis. According to data from the Brazilian Institute of Geography and Statistics (IBGE, 2019/2020), Brazil has an estimated population in 2020 of 211,755,692 people, with a population density of 22.43 inhabitants/km², with a predominance of the population in the age groups of 10 to 29 years, a predominance of the female population, with a life expectancy at birth of 7 years more for females. It has a predominantly urban population, with GDP per capita of R\$31,833.50 (year 2017) and Human Development Index (HDI) of 0.761 (79th position in the world in 2019).

Study design

A population, observational, descriptive, retrospective study was conducted with multiple groups and time series, with aggregated secondary data, through information provided by the information system website of the Department of the Unified Health System. The research methodology on the DATASUS website was established according to the tools available in the consultation system: through the following links: "Health Information (TABNET)", "Epidemiological and Morbidity"; "Hospital Morbidity of the SUS (SIH/SUS)"; "General with place of hospitalization - from 2008"; "Brazil by Region and Federation Units"; Line = "Sex"; Column = "not active", content = "Hospitalizations; Hospital Admission Authorizations (AIH) approved; Total value; Value of hospital services; Value of professional services; Average AIH value; Average hospitalization value; Days stay; Average permanence; Deaths; Mortality rate"; available period from January 2008 to December 2018; Chapter of ICD 10 = "I Infectious and parasitic diseases"; list of morbidities/ICD 10 = "Neonatal tetanus and other tetanus; Diphtheria; Whooping. Yellow Fever Meningococcal infections; Measles; Rubella; Mumps Chickenpox/Herpes Zoster; Acute hepatitis B" diseases chosen because they have preventive vaccines available in the National Vaccination Calendar of the Brazilian Ministry of Health. The data were updated at the DATASUS system in 2021.

The variables analysed were the immune preventable diseases mentioned above, year, age group, gender and economic variables. The socio-demographic data were tabulated and evaluated by descriptive statistics (mean, standard deviation, median and percentages) and probability associated with the student t test, by the Excel® (Microsoft Corp., United States version 2007) and Stata® (StataCorpLP, College Station, United States version 14.0), by the research team itself. For the continuous (numerical) variables, linear regression analysis was used in the cases of verification of the correlations of the economic variables of each immune preventable disease. The time trends (Yt) of the economic variables in relation to hospitalizations, age groups and genders were also analysed, defined by the equation of linear regression given by $Y_t = b_0 + b_1t + \text{and}$. In this expression, parameter b_0 corresponds to a constant; b_1 corresponds to the slope of the line, by the Prais-Winsten method, specific for time series analysis. When the Beta parameter was positive, the time series was considered increasing; when negative, was considered descending; and stationary when there was no significant difference between its value and zero. To measure the rate of variation of the line that adjusts the points of the time series, the basic logarithmic transformation 10 of the coefficients (Y) was performed, as it contributes to the reduction of the heterogeneity of the variance of the residuals of the linear regression analysis ^[19-21].

RESULTS

Data were analysed for 457,479 hospitalizations recorded in the DATASUS public system, in the period from 2008 to 2018, in all age groups (from under 1 year to over 80 years). Of these, 51.47% were in people identified with males (235,483 hospitalizations, with minimum of 134, maximum of 145.346, average of 21.407,54, median of 2,515, standard deviation - SD - of +/-43,438.13, 95% confidence interval - 95% CI - of +/-175.44) and 48.53% with females (221,996 hospitalizations, with a minimum of 141, maximum of 146,863, average of 20,181.45, median of 1,582, SD of +/-43,953.26, 95% CI of +/-182.83) (p-value 0.088), according to **Table 1**.

Table 1. Description of the data related to hospitalizations, by immune preventable disease and gender, in Brazil, in the period surveyed from 2008 to 2018.

Immunopreventable Diseases														
Hospitalizations by gender	Mumps		Whooping cough		Meningococcal disease		Diphtheria		Yellow fever		Chickenpox/Herpes Zoster			
Male	2515	61.39%	9953	46.94%	13775	57.34%	753	55.61%	1546	77.57%				
Female	1582	38.61%	11249	53.06%	10247	42.66%	601	44.39%	447	22.43%				
Total	4097		21202		24022		1354		1993					
Hospitalizations by gender	Influenza		Hepatitis B		Rubella		Measles		Neonatal and accidental tetanus					
Male	145346	49.74%	10103	65.54%	134	48.73%	825	52.68%	1743	78.94%	48790	52.38%		
Female	146863	50.26%	5312	34.46%	141	51.27%	741	47.32%	465	21.06%	44348	47.62%		
Total	292209		15415		275		1566		2208		93138			

Among the immune preventable diseases evaluated, 55.69% of hospitalizations were associated with influenza disease, being the main cause observed, with 145,346 hospitalizations associated with males and 146,863 with females. The disease with fewer hospitalizations observed in this analysis was rubella, with 275 hospitalizations in the analysed period (134 hospitalizations reported to males and 141 to females). These 457,479 hospitalizations recorded in the system, in the period from 2008 to 2018, totalled a direct cost of R\$389,243,264.85. Of these, 53.17% were in people identified as males (R\$206,943,642.06 direct costs with hospitalizations, with a minimum of R\$35,156.59, a maximum of R\$108,903,219.60, average of R\$18,813,058.37, median of R\$8,596,673.05, SD of +/- R\$32,021,390.25, 95% CI of +/- R\$4,362.76) and 46.83% for females (R\$182,299,622.79 direct costs from hospitalizations, with a minimum of R\$109,836.23, maximum of R\$107,882,552.40, an average of R\$16,572,692.98, median of R\$1,921,686.50, SD of +/-R\$31,942,325.80, 95% CI of +/- R\$4,636.83) (p-value 0.036), according to **Table 2**.

Table 2. Among the hospitalizations evaluated, 55.69% of hospitalizations were associated with influenza disease, with the main hospitalization in direct costs observed, with R\$108,903,219.58 in costs associated with males and R\$107,882,552.40 to females. The lower direct cost problem associated with hospitalizations observed in this analysis was rubella, with a value of R\$144,992.82 (R\$35,156.59 associated with males and R\$109,836.23 for females).

Immunopreventable Diseases							
Hospitalizations' total costs by gender	Mumps	Whooping cough	Meningococcal disease	Diphtheria	Yellow fever	Chickenpox/Herpes Zoster	
Male	R\$ 602,007.64	R\$ 13,067,748.44	R\$ 27,001,578.56	R\$ 1,762,543.93	R\$ 2,256,943.37		
Female	R\$ 359,811.01	R\$ 14,892,761.64	R\$ 20,155,155.93	R\$ 1,378,157.60	R\$ 411,065.27		
Total	R\$ 961,818.65	R\$ 27,960,51008	R\$ 47,156,73449	R\$ 3,140,70153	R\$ 2,668,008.64		
Hospitalizations' total costs by gender	Influenza	Hepatitis B	Rubella	Measles	Neonatal and accidental tetanus		
Male	R\$ 108,903,219.58	R\$ 8,596,673.05	R\$ 35,156.59	R\$ 278,720.19	R\$ 9,625,567.84	R\$ 34,813,482.87	
Female	R\$ 107,882,552.40	R\$ 4,441,529.31	R\$ 109,836.23	R\$ 218,884.97	R\$ 1,921,686.50	R\$ 30,528,181.93	
Total	R\$ 216,785,771.98	R\$ 13,038,202.36	R\$ 144,992.82	R\$ 497,605.16	R\$ 11,547,254.34	R\$ 65,341,664.80	

Regarding the distribution of hospitalizations related to immune preventable diseases studied in Brazil, the time series analyses were presented in **Table 3**.

Table 3. Temporal trend analysis of hospitalization data, by immune preventable disease and gender, in Brazil, in the period surveyed from 2008 to 2018

Hospitalizations by disease (2008-2018)	Male					Female				
	BETA	P-value	Confidence interval of 95%		Tendency	BETA	P-value	Confidence interval of 95%		Tendency
Mumps	0.049	0.005	0.019	0.078	growing	0.058	0	0.038	0.078	growing
Whooping cough	0.026	0.547	-0.09	0.123	stationary	0.026	0.561	-0.072	0.124	stationary
Meningococcal disease	-0.046	0.01	-0.079	0.014	decreasing	-0.04	0.012	-0.068	-0.011	decreasing
Diphtheria	-0.031	0.01	-0.053	-0.0094	decreasing	-0.027	0.047	-0.055	-0.00049	decreasing
Yellow fever	0.123	0.306	-0.133	0.38	stationary	0.11	0.188	-0.065	0.285	stationary
Influenza	-0.026	0	-0.035	-0.017	decreasing	-0.024	0	-0.034	-0.014	decreasing
Hepatitis B	-0.042	0.007	-0.071	-0.014	decreasing	-0.037	0.004	-0.06	-0.015	decreasing
Rubella	-0.063	0.002	-0.097	-0.029	decreasing	-0.049	0.004	-0.078	-0.019	decreasing
Measles	0.035	0.248	-0.029	0.1	stationary	0.044	0.376	-0.063	0.152	stationary
Neonatal and accidental tetanus	0	0.962	-0.005	0.005	stationary	-0.014	0.05	-0.029	0	stationary
Chickenpox/Herpes Zoster	-0.039	0	-0.047	-0.031	decreasing	-0.035	0	-0.042	-0.028	decreasing

Demonstrating, mostly, a stationary or decreasing trend in relation to the total number of hospitalizations, for both sexes,

without differences in trends between the male and female groups. Some particularities were observed, as in the case of mumps disease that presented an increasing trend in the country, with statistical significance (p-value 0.005 in the male group and 0.000 in the female group).

Regarding the distribution of total direct costs related to hospitalizations related to immune preventable diseases researched in Brazil, the time series analyses were presented in **Table 4**

Table 4. Temporal trend analysis of data regarding total hospitalization values, by immune preventable disease and gender, in Brazil, in the period surveyed from 2008 to 2018 Demonstrating, for the most part a stationary or decreasing trend for both sexes, without differences in trends between the groups. Some particularities were observed, as in the case of mumps disease that presented an increasing trend in the country, with statistical significance (p-value of 0.000 for both sexes). And the case of rubella disease, which showed a decreasing trend in the male group (p-value of 0.008) and stationary in the female group (p-value of 0.480).

Hospitalizations' Total Costs By Disease (2008-2018)	Male					Female				
	BETA	P-value	Confidence interval of 95%		Tendency	BETA	P-value	Confidence interval of 95%		Tendency
Mumps	0.063	0	0.036	0.09	growing	0.073	0	0.05	0.095	growing
Whooping cough	0.032	0.494	-0.069	0.133	stationary	0.034	0.446	-0.063	0.132	stationary
Meningococcal disease	-0.031	0.086	-0.069	0.0055	stationary	-0.027	0.115	-0.062	0.0081	stationary
Diphtheria	-0.023	0.166	-0.059	0.011	stationary	-0.021	0.388	-0.076	0.032	stationary
Yellow fever	0.178	0.282	-0.174	0.532	stationary	0.174	0.123	-0.057	0.406	stationary
Influenza	-0.022	0.003	-0.034	-0.009	decreasing	-0.02	0.006	-0.034	-0.007	decreasing
Hepatitis B	-0.0097	0.549	-0.045	0.025	stationary	-0.019	0.053	-0.038	0.00034	stationary
Rubella	-0.069	0.008	-0.115	-0.02	decreasing	-0.032	0.48	-0.133	0.067	stationary
Measles	0.056	0.077	-0.007	0.119	stationary	0.035	0.301	-0.038	0.109	stationary
Neonatal and accidental tetanus	0.016	0.067	-0.001	0.035	stationary	0.0039	0.725	-0.02	0.028	stationary
Chickenpox/Herpes Zoster	-0.022	0.01	-0.038	-0.0068	decreasing	-0.019	0.015	-0.034	-0.0049	decreasing

DISCUSSION

The international literature ^[11-13] has already shown greater intensity and efficacy in the immune response in females, against pathogens, in developing autoimmune diseases and at responding to different vaccine agents (immune response and post-vaccine adverse events) ^[14-18]. As IBGE data, based on the population estimate for 2020, the population projected for females (108,228,003) is higher than for males (103,527,689). However, what was presented in 10 years of records was a discrete predominance of hospitalizations for immune preventable diseases in the male group. This situation was also observed in the analysis of the total direct values related to these hospitalizations, also with statistical significance of 0.036. There is a need for public health policies aimed at the male population, regarding the importance of immune preventable diseases and awareness of the importance of vaccination coverage to remain high in this group. Recent studies ^[22] reiterate the importance of vaccines for the global population: it was estimated that vaccination for 10 pathogens would prevent 69 million deaths between 2000 and 2030. Adequate vaccination coverage and a complete vaccination schedule for major immune preventable diseases have the power to reduce mortality of populations born from 2019 by 72%.

An interesting situation was verified in this study, through trend analysis: the number of hospitalizations for immune preventable diseases was decreasing to 6 diseases (meningococcal disease, diphtheria, influenza, hepatitis B, rubella and varicella/herpes zoster), with statistical significance (p-values <0.005) in all cases. However, when the trend analysis was made for the total values related to these hospitalizations, the trends were stationary, i.e., there was no change for of the data analysed (except for rubella disease in the male group that presented a decreasing trend with statistical significance). Thus, we observed that the costs related to these hospitalizations remain stable, even with the decrease in the total number of hospitalizations. In other words, immune preventable diseases continue to financially impact the health system of the Brazilian population, even with the decrease in hospitalizations. This situation could be attributed to several causes, such as the increase in the costs of medical-hospital services and materials, the greater severity of hospitalizations, the increase in the age group affected by diseases, decreased vaccination coverage of the population, change in the quality of care provided to the population, delay in the care of suspected cases, increased comorbidities, worsening of social conditions that impact on the health of the population, among many other causes that could be listed here ^[23-25].

Limitations of the study

All studies based on public secondary databases have the limitation, already known, of underreporting and underreporting of the analysed system itself, because these are dependent on the databases being fed by the employees responsible for the system. In the case of the SUS, these data are feeders in a decentralized manner and regionalized by States and Municipalities, that could update the data in any time even after the data had been publicized at the DATASUS site. However, despite the notorious underutilization of the system, these are the official data that are used for the development of public health policies in Brazil.

CONCLUSION

The main objective of this manuscript is not to determine the causal relationship for hospital costs for preventable diseases. The merit of this study is that it signals a reality that often goes unnoticed to the managers of the health system and the population: that diseases effectively preventable by vaccines still affect the Brazilian population, in a relevant amount, adding financial costs also relevant to the public health system of the country, regardless of gender and age (because here in this analysis we observe cases of immune preventable diseases not only in children, but also in adults and the elderly, a reality observed internationally. These costs are not showing downward trends, but rather, they are proving stable over the time studied, even though vaccines are available free of charge to the entire population through the National Immunization Program for many years.

These direct financial costs signalled here that they could be employed in other health needs of the female and male populations. An opportunity for improvement that is observed is importance of employing awareness campaigns for the importance of specific vaccination of each population group. This awareness gains even more importance when observing the drop-in vaccination coverage globally during the 2020/2021 pandemic, predisposing to the resurgence and increase in the incidence of immune preventable diseases reality that is not exclusive to children, but affects the entire world population, regardless of age group or gender. This is a commitment that must be made by all countries, because immunizing the population is an investment to create a healthier, safer and more prosperous future for all, as the WHO guides.

REFERENCES

1. World Health Organization (WHO) International travel and health Chapter 6.
2. Brazil. Ministry of Health. Health Surveillance Department. Communicable Disease Surveillance Department. Cold chain manual of the National Immunization Program/Ministry of Health, Health Surveillance Department, Communicable Disease Surveillance Department. (5th ed). Brasília: Ministry of Health. 2017.
3. Bloom DE, Canning D, Weston M. The value of vaccination World Economics. 2005;6:15-39.
4. Andre FE, et al. Vaccination greatly reduces disease disability, death and inequity world wide. Bulletin of the World Health Organization. 2008;86:140-146.
5. Plotkin SL, Plotkin SA. A short history of vaccination. In: Plotkin SA, Orenstein WA. Vaccines, (4th edn). Philadelphia: WB Saunders. 2004: 1-15.
6. Dabbagh A, et al. World Health Organisation. A new global frame Works for immunisation monitoring and surveillance. Bull World Health Organ. 2007;85: 904.
7. Omer SB, et al. Vaccine refusal, mandatory immunization, and the risks of vaccine-preventable diseases. N Engl J Med. 2009;360:1981-1988.
8. Pezzotti P, et al. The impact of immunisation programs on 10 vaccine preventable diseases in italy: 1900- 2015. Vaccine. 2018;36:1435-1443.
9. World Health Organization (WHO). Surveillance standards for vaccine- preventable diseases. (2nd edn). Geneva: World Health Organization. 2018.
10. Ruggieri A, et al. The influence of sex and gender on immunity, infection and vaccination. Ann Ist Super Sanità. 2016;52:198-204.
11. Klein SL, Jedlicka A, Pekosz A. The Xs and Y of immune responses to viral vaccines. Lancet Infect Dis. 2010;10:338-349.
12. Klein SL, Roberts CW (Eds). Sex hormones and immunity to infection. Berlin: Springer Verlag. 2010.
13. Engler RJ, e al. Walter Reed health care system influenza vaccine consortium. Half- vs full-dose trivalent inactivated influenza vaccine (2004-2005): Age, dose, and sex effects on immune responses. Arch Intern Med. 2008;168:2405-2414.
14. Furman D, et al. Systems analysis of sex differences reveals an immunosuppressive role for testosterone in the response to influenza vaccination. Proc Natl Acad Sci US A. 2014;111:869-874.
15. Cook IF. Sexual dimorphism of humoral immunity with human vaccines. Vaccine. 2008;26:3551-3555.
16. Green MS, et al. Sex differences in the humoral antibody response to live measles vaccine in young adults. Int J Epidemiol. 1994; 23:1078-1081.
17. Veit O, et al. Swiss HIV Cohort Study. Immunogenicity and safety of yellow fever vaccination for 102 HIV-infected patients. Clin Infect Dis. 2009;48:659-666.
18. Antunes JLF, Cardoso MRA. Uso da análise de séries temporais em estudos epidemiológicos. Epidemiol. Serv. Saúde, Brasília. 2015;24:565-576.
19. Franco GC. Apostila de modelos lineares em séries temporais. Universidade Federal De Minas Gerais – UFMG. Instituto De Ciências Exatas – ICEx. Departamento De Estatística – EST. Belo Horizonte. 2016.
20. Fávero LP. Métodos quantitativos com stata: Procedimentos, rotinas e análise de resultados. (1st edn). - Rio de Janeiro: Elsevier. 2014 ;5157-5159.
21. Li X, et al. Estimating the health impact of vaccination against ten pathogens in 98 low-income and middle-income countries from 2000 to 2030: A modelling study. Lancet. 2021;397: 398-408.

Research & Reviews: Journal of Nursing & Health Sciences

22. Wu Q, et al. Changes in epidemiological features of vaccine preventable infectious diseases among three eras of national vaccination strategies from 1953 to 2018 in Shanghai, China. *The Lancet Regional Health - Western Pacific* 2021;7:100092.
23. Cohen AL, Patel MK, Cherian T. Vaccines work: A reason for celebration and renewed commitment. *Lancet*. 2021;397:351-352.
24. World Health Organization (WHO). Immunization agenda 2030: A global strategy to leave no one behind. 2020.
25. World Health Organization (WHO). Global strategy for comprehensive vaccine-preventable disease (VPD) surveillance. June 19. 2020.